



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,024	02/25/2004	Jerry L. Blevins	A-7014.CIP	9026	
7590 10/12/2005			EXAMINER		
Christopher J. McDonald, Esq. HOFFMAN, WASSON & GITLER, PC			MAYO, TARA L		
Suite 522 - Crystal Center 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2461 South Clark Street			3671		
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Applicant(s)		
BLEVINS, JERRY L.		
Art Unit		
3671		

•	LAdillillet	Arconic				
	Tara L. Mayo	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	walling and allow police out for all in the	,, o, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				
	i e					

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 23 September 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's statements that Brandorff et al. '761 fail to teach a substantially planar patient support, the Examiner maintains the support (103) meets the claimed limitation. Specifically, "substantially planar" is not synonymous with "planar."

In response to Applicant's statements that Brandorff et al. '761 fail to teach a support frame attached to the first side edge of the patient support, the Examiner notes that the support is attached to the patient support via the straps (104) and hooks (106) as noted by Applicant in the Remarks filed. Furthermore, the claims as finally rejected in the last Office action, do not include limitations which preclude intervening structure.

In response to Applicant's statements that Brandorff et al. '761 fail to teach first and second bases as set forth in the instant application, the Examiner notes that first and second bases are shown by the reference although incorrectly identified in the last Office action. Elements 24 and 27 of Brandorff et al. '761 correspond to the first and second bases.

In response to Applicant's statements that Brandorff et al. '761 fail to teach first and second bases adjustable relative to one another, the Examiner directs Applicant to review col. 10, line 60 through col. 11, line 3.

In response to Applicant's statement regarding Nanahara '345, the Examiner contends the L-shaped arm (108) has first and second sections pivotally connected together. Inherent to he L-shape, one section can move relative to the other section.

Art Unit: 3671

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

06 October 2006

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600